

Resplendent models generated by indiscernibles

Whan Ki Lee, Queensborough Community College

JAF 38

May, 30, 2019

Definition

Let \mathcal{M} be an \mathcal{L} -structure. Let $I \subseteq M$ be linearly ordered by $<$. I is called a *indiscernible sequence* [*n-indiscernible sequence*] if for all $n < \omega$, all increasing n -tuples $\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle, \langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$ in $[X]^n$, and all formulas [with n -free variables],

$$\mathcal{M} \models \phi(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \leftrightarrow \phi(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}).$$

If T is a theory with built-in Skolem functions and $\mathcal{M} \models T$, then we call the structure $\mathcal{N} \prec \mathcal{M}$ generated by I an *Ehrenfeucht-Mostowski model*.

Question:

- Is there a recursively saturated model of PA generated by indiscernibles?

Theorem (Ramsey's Theorem)

If $k, n < \omega$, then $\aleph_0 \rightarrow (\aleph_0)_k^n$.

Theorem (Ehrenfeucht-Mostowski)

Let T be an \mathcal{L} -theory with infinite models. For any infinite linear order $(I, <)$, there is $\mathcal{M} \models T$ containing an indiscernible sequence $(c_i : i \in I)$.

Definition (expandability)

Let \mathcal{L} be a recursive language and \mathfrak{A} an \mathcal{L} -structure. Let R be a new relation symbol.

\mathfrak{A} is *resplendent* (*chronically resplendent*) if

$\bar{a} \in A$ and $\text{Th}(\mathfrak{A}, \bar{a}) + \varphi(\bar{a}, R)$ is consistent $\Rightarrow \exists R^{\mathfrak{A}} ((\mathfrak{A}, R^{\mathfrak{A}}) \models \varphi(\bar{a}, R^{\mathfrak{A}}))$.

\mathfrak{A} is *chronically resplendent* if

$\bar{a} \in A$ and $\text{Th}(\mathfrak{A}, \bar{a}) + \varphi(\bar{a}, R)$ is consistent $\Rightarrow \exists R^{\mathfrak{A}} ((\mathfrak{A}, R^{\mathfrak{A}}) \models \varphi(\bar{a}, R^{\mathfrak{A}})$
and (\mathfrak{A}, R) is resplendent).

\mathfrak{A} is *totally resplendent* if $\exists R_0, R_1, R_2, \dots$ on A such that each expansion $(\mathfrak{A}, R_0, \dots, R_{n-1})$ is resplendent and if $(\mathfrak{A}, R_0, R_1, \dots) \models \exists R \varphi(\bar{a}, R)$, then there exists $R^{\mathfrak{A}}$ parametrically definable in $(\mathfrak{A}, R_0, R_1, \dots)$ such that $(\mathfrak{A}, R_0, R_1, \dots, R^{\mathfrak{A}}) \models \varphi(\bar{a}, R^{\mathfrak{A}})$.

Recursive saturation and Resplendency

Fact

For countable recursively saturated structures over a recursive language \mathcal{L} ,

*Recursive saturation \Rightarrow Resplendency
 \Rightarrow Chronic resplendency
 \Rightarrow Total resplendency*

Theorem

Let \mathcal{L} be a recursive language and \mathcal{M} be a countable recursively saturated \mathcal{L} -structure, and $\bar{a} \in M$. Let \mathcal{L}' be a recursive extension of $\mathcal{L} \cup \{\bar{a}\}$ and T a recursively axiomatized \mathcal{L}' -theory. Then, if $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M}, \bar{a}) + T$ is consistent, there is an expansion of (\mathcal{M}, \bar{a}) to \mathcal{L}' satisfying T that is recursively saturated as an \mathcal{L}' -structure.

Recursive saturation and Indiscernibles

Question (D. Marker, S. Smith)

Is there a recursively saturated model of PA which is generated by a set of indiscernibles?

Theorem (F. Abramson, J. Knight, 1981)

Every consistent extension of PA has a countable recursively saturated model generated by a set of indiscernibles. [Knight, Julia, personal letter to A. Macintyre, 1981]

Lemma

If $\mathcal{M} \prec \mathcal{N} \models \text{PA}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \text{sup}(\mathcal{M})$ in \mathcal{N} , then $\mathcal{M} \prec_{\text{cof}} \mathcal{K} \prec_{\text{end}} \mathcal{N}$.

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{M} \prec_{\text{end}} \mathcal{N}$ be nonstandard models of PA, and suppose for some $a \in \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{M} = \text{sup}\{(a)_n : n < \omega\}$, and $\text{Scl}((a)_i) < (a)_{i+1}$ for all $i < \omega$. If $\varphi(x, \bar{y})$ is a formula and $\bar{b} \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\bar{b} < (a)_m$ for some $m < \omega$, then $\mathcal{M} \models \exists x \varphi(x, \bar{b})$ iff $\mathcal{N} \models \exists x < (a)_{m+1} \varphi(x, \bar{b})$, and $\mathcal{M} \models \forall x \varphi(x, \bar{b})$ iff $\mathcal{N} \models \forall x < (a)_{m+1} \varphi(x, \bar{b})$.

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{M} \prec_{\text{end}} \mathcal{N}$ be nonstandard models of PA, and suppose for some $a \in \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{M} = \text{sup}\{(a)_n : n < \omega\}$, and $\text{Scl}((a)_i) < (a)_{i+1}$ for all $i < \omega$. Then, \mathcal{M} is recursively saturated.

Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{PA}} \cup \{c\}$ and T be the \mathcal{L} -theory consisting of PA and the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\varphi((c)_{m_1}, (c)_{m_2}, \dots, (c)_{m_k}) \leftrightarrow \varphi((c)_{n_1}, (c)_{n_2}, \dots, (c)_{n_k}) : \\ & \quad \langle \bar{m} \rangle, \langle \bar{n} \rangle \in [\omega]^{\geq 0}, \varphi \text{ is an } \mathcal{L}_{\text{PA}}\text{-formula}\} \\ & \cup \{(c)_n < (c)_{n+1} : n < \omega\} \\ & \cup \{(c)_n < ((c)_{n+1})_0 : n < \omega\} \\ & \cup \{t(((c)_n)_i) < ((c)_n)_{i+1} : n, i < \omega, t \text{ is an } \mathcal{L}_{\text{PA}}\text{-term}\} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{N} \models T$, $\mathcal{M} = \text{Scl}((c)_n : n < \omega)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_n = \text{sup}(\text{Scl}(((c)_n)_i : i < \omega))$ for each $n < \omega$. Then, \mathcal{M}_n 's are recursively saturated. And, $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{M}_n$ is recursively saturated. Also, \mathcal{M} is generated by the indiscernibles $\langle (c)_n : n < \omega \rangle$.

Definition

Let Σ be a complete set of \mathcal{L} -formulas. Let I be a countable linearly ordered set. If $T = \{\varphi(\vec{i}) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi(\vec{x}) \in \Sigma, \langle \vec{i} \rangle \in [I]^n\}$ is consistent, then we say Σ is an *indiscernible type*.

Definition

Let \mathfrak{A} be an \mathcal{L} -structure and $I \subseteq A$. If every element of \mathfrak{A} is generated as $t(\vec{i})$ for some β -term t and $\vec{i} \in I^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we say \mathfrak{A} is *β -generated by I* .

Let $\mathcal{L} = (\beta, \dots)$ be a finite language with a binary function symbol β . Let **CFF** be the set of sentences

$$\forall x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \forall y_0, \dots, y_{n-1} \exists x \left[\bigwedge_{i < j < n} x_i \neq x_j \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i < n} \beta(x_i, x) = y_i \right]$$

Theorem (J. Schmerl (1985))

*Every countable recursively saturated model of **CFF** is generated by a set of indiscernibles.*

Theorem (J. Schmerl, 1989)

*Let \mathfrak{A} be a countable recursively saturated model of **CFF**. Then there is an indiscernible type Σ in the language \mathcal{L} such that if I is a linearly ordered set with no last element and \mathfrak{B} is generated by I having indiscernible type Σ , then \mathfrak{B} is β -generated by I , totally resplendent, and $\mathfrak{B} \equiv_{\infty, \omega} \mathfrak{A}$ as \mathcal{L} -structures.*

Theorem (J. Schmerl, 1989)

Let \mathfrak{A} be a countable recursively saturated model of **CFF**. Then there is an indiscernible type Σ in the language \mathcal{L} such that if I is a linearly ordered set with no last element and $T = \{\varphi(\vec{i}) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi(\vec{x}) \in \Sigma, \langle \vec{i} \rangle \in [I]^n\}$, then every model \mathcal{C} of T has the elementary substructure \mathfrak{B} which is β -generated by I and totally resplendent, and such that $\mathfrak{B} \equiv_{\infty, \omega} \mathfrak{A}$ as \mathcal{L} -structures.

Proof of Schmerl's theorem

Using the recursive saturation of \mathfrak{A} , we assume that

- \mathfrak{A} has a pairing function (a bijection between A^2 and A).
- \mathfrak{A} has a linear order $<$ and satisfies

$$\forall x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \forall y_0, \dots, y_{n-1} \forall z \exists x > z \left[\bigwedge_{i < j < n} x_i \neq x_j \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i < n} \beta(x_i, x) = y_i \right]$$

for $n < \omega$.

- \mathfrak{A} has distinct elements a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots such that $\beta(a_n, a_0) = a_{n+1}$ for $n > 0$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{L} be a finite language consisting only of relation symbols among which is the binary relation symbol $<$ for ordering. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, <, \dots)$ is a finite ordered \mathcal{L} -structure and f is a function on $[A]^{<\omega}$. We say that f is *homogeneous* on \mathfrak{A} if whenever $X, Y \subseteq A$ and $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright X \cong \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright Y$, then $f(X) = f(Y)$.

Theorem (AH/NR Theorem)

Suppose $\mathfrak{A} = (A, <, \dots)$ is a finite ordered \mathcal{L} -structure. Then there is a finite ordered \mathcal{L} -structure $\mathfrak{B} = (B, <, \dots)$ such that whenever $f : [B]^{<\omega} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, then there is $\mathfrak{A}' \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ such that $\mathfrak{A}' \cong \mathfrak{A}$ and f is homogeneous on \mathfrak{A}' .

Definition $((G, r)$ -free)

Let $(A, <)$ be an infinite linearly ordered set. Let

$G = \langle g_n : [A]^n \rightarrow A \rangle_{1 < n < \omega}$ be a sequence of functions. Let Y be a finite subset of A , $a \in A$, $r < \omega$, and $f : [Y]^{\geq r} \rightarrow A$, we say f is *coded by a via G* if for all $s \geq r$ and for all $\langle b_0, \dots, b_{s-1} \rangle \in [Y]^s$,

$$g_{s+1}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{s-1}, a) = f(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{s-1}).$$

We also say that a subset $I \subseteq A$ is (G, r) -free if it satisfies the following: if Y is a finite subset Y of I and $f : [Y]^{\geq r} \rightarrow A$ is a function, then for each $a \in I$ there is $b \in I$ with $b > a$ that codes f via G .

Lemma

Let $r < \omega$, $G = \{g_n : [\omega]^n \rightarrow \omega : 1 < n < \omega\}$ and $I \subseteq \omega$ be (G, r) -free. Let $F : [I]^r \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. Then there is a (G, r) -free subset J of I such that F is constant on $[J]^r$.

Proof of Schmerl's theorem

Fix some notations:

- For $n \geq 2$, set $\beta(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \beta(\beta(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), x_n)$.
- Define $\beta(a_{n+1}, -) : [A]^{n+1} \rightarrow A$ for $0 < n < \omega$.
- $G = \{\beta(a_{n+1}, -) : 0 < n < \omega\}$.
- d_0, d_1, d_2, \dots is an enumeration of A .
- $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}$ and for each $n < \omega$, $\mathcal{L}_{n+1} = \mathcal{L}_n \cup \{I_n, R_n, d_n\}$ where I_n and R_n are new unary relation symbols. $\mathcal{L}_\omega = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{L}_n$.
- Let $\langle \varphi_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y) : 0 < n < \omega \rangle$ be a list of \mathcal{L}_ω -formulas such that φ_n is an $(n+1)$ -ary \mathcal{L}_n -formula and each \mathcal{L}_ω -formula with free variables among y, x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots is equivalent to one in the list.
- Let $\langle \psi_n(R) : n < \omega \rangle$ be a list of the $(\mathcal{L}_\omega \cup \{R\})$ -sentences with R being a new unary relation symbol such that $\psi_n(R)$ is an $\mathcal{L}_n \cup \{R\}$ -formula.

Proof of Schmerl's theorem

Construct a sequence of expansions \mathfrak{A}_n of \mathfrak{A} , where $\mathfrak{A}_0 = \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{n+1} = (\mathfrak{A}_n, I_n, R_n, d_n)$ such that

(1.1) $\mathfrak{A}_{n+1} = (\mathfrak{A}_n, d_n, I_n, R_n)$ is recursively saturated,

(1.2) $I_0 \subseteq A$ and if $n > 0$, $I_n \subseteq I_{n-1}$,

(1.3) for all $\langle b_0, b_1 \rangle \in [I_n]^2$, $\beta(b_0, b_1) = a_0$ and $\beta(a_0, b_0) = a_1$.

(1.4) I_n is an n -indiscernible sequence in \mathfrak{A}_n ,

(1.5) If $n > 1$, $\langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle \in [I_n]^n$, and $\mathfrak{A}_n \models \exists y \varphi_{n-1}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-2}, y)$, then

$\mathfrak{A}_n \models \varphi_{n-1}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-2}, \beta(a_n, b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-2}, b_{n-1}))$, and

(1.6) If $\mathfrak{A}_n \models \exists R \psi_n(R)$, then $\mathfrak{A}_n \models \psi_n(R_n)$.

(1.7) I_n is (G, n) -free.

Proof of Schmerl's theorem

Suppose we have constructed the sequence $\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2, \dots$

Let Σ_ω be the set of all \mathcal{L}_ω -formulas $\varphi(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ such that for all sufficiently large r , whenever $\langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle \in [I_r]^n$, then $\mathfrak{A}_\omega \models \varphi(\bar{b})$.

Then, Σ_ω is an indiscernible type.

Let I be a linearly ordered set with no last element and let

$T_\omega = \{\varphi(i_0, \dots, i_{n-1}) : n < \omega, \langle i_0, \dots, i_{n-1} \rangle \in [I]^n, \varphi(\bar{x}) \in \Sigma_\omega\}$.

Let $\Sigma = \Sigma_\omega \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}$ and $T = \{\varphi(\bar{i}) : n < \omega, \langle \bar{i} \rangle \in [I]^n, \varphi(\bar{x}) \in \Sigma\}$.

Let \mathcal{C}_ω be a model of T_ω and \mathfrak{B}_ω be the β -closure of I in \mathcal{C}_ω .

Let \mathcal{C} be a model of T and \mathfrak{B} be the β -closure of I in \mathcal{C} .

Theorem

Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be recursively saturated structures. If $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{N}$ and they realize the same types, then $\mathcal{M} \equiv_{\infty, \omega} \mathcal{N}$.

Proof of Schmerl's theorem

Lemma

$B_\omega(B)$ is an elementary substructure of $C_\omega(C)$, and so it is a model of $T_\omega(T)$ β -generated by I having indiscernible type $\Sigma_\omega(\Sigma)$.

Lemma

Then, \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} realize the same \mathcal{L} -types.

Lemma

\mathfrak{B} is totally resplendent.

- Is there a simpler proof of Schmerl's theorem?
- Further characterization of models generated by indiscernibles;
 - If a countable model of **CFF** can be generated by two different sequences of different types, is it recursively saturated?
 - Or, what conditions make the converse of Schmerl' theorem hold ?